Dear Jim, CIA's letter of May 28 6/2/76

I've not made copies. These are the originals. I think you can find other use for this so if you want, keep the originals and give me a set of copies. I suggest you may want a set to take to the next hearing on 1448 or to use in the interrogatories.

The wrong address on the envelope may be some kind of accident but it is rather provocative. The inference that it comes from an error in reporting an investigation is hard to avoid.

Now that I have the other page I make on each request of the CIA. If I could find a student a simple reading of the master file and the making of a copy where there is no separate carbon would do this. If you hear from Scott please ask him to think of a woman student who might be willing to do this some time when he comes up and does harder work. In this he has been quite helpful to me, and has enjoyed himself while reading on his own. If as he and, he might Floyd drives me home tomorrow, I'll also raise the question with him. I'd like to be able to double-check this as it relates to the FBI.

In the new request including the name of Epstein and omitting that of Sands were both deliberate. "Mark Olden" may or may not be relevant.

Before going to sleep last night I read a little more of that crap.

"Mark Olden" has more than casual knowledge of the figures in the alleged JFK investigation and of some of the little-known records. Marker is a real name. It is my belief that the author selected it before it became public. Few people know it now from the one-time mention.

Too many real names are used, like Mullen in connection with one clearly intended as a copy of Howard Hughes and Perry for Oswald, in New Orleans. "Hughes" is responsible for the assassination.

What emerges in the Church hearings on mail is that Bud knew when he was with Long and did nothing in that investigation. He also has never told us, not me, anyway, not even when he knew of my interest in getting what they have on me.

There are documents he was not given when he asked for those on himself.

Church even publishes some! They refer to others. He sure is a great investigator!

If you want tell him that Volume 4 gives him proof they have not given me all he asked for.

best,